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Abstract

We report results from a $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar sanidine and CA-TIMS $^{238}$U/$^{206}$Pb zircon dating study of eruption and crystal residence timescales of the Alder Creek Rhyolite (ACR), California, extruded during the Cobb Mountain normal-polarity subchron (C1.2n). A $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ACR sanidine date of 1.1850 ± 0.0016 Ma (2σ uncertainty), determined relative to the astronomically dated A1 tephra sanidine, is interpreted as the ACR eruption age. This age is supported by CA-TIMS U-Pb zircon dating, guided by LA-ICPMS trace element analyses, titanium-in-zircon (TiZR) thermometry, and cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging. Using these data, two compositionally distinct zircon populations were revealed. “Pre-ACR” Group B zircons exhibit oscillatory zoning, large positive Ce and negative Eu anomalies, high incompatible trace element contents, TiZR temperatures of 650 °C–750 °C, and Th/U disequilibrium corrected $^{238}$U/$^{206}$Pb dates of 1.38–1.24 Ma. These crystals are interpreted as antecrysts inherited from earlier intrusives in the Geysers–Cobb Mountain magma source region. “ACR-related” Group A zircons, present as discrete grains and overgrowths on Group B zircons, display less intense CL with diffuse zoning, and have less pronounced positive Ce and negative Eu anomalies, lower incompatible trace element contents, higher TiZR temperatures that range up to 840 °C, and significantly younger dates. The youngest Group A dates yield a weighted mean of 1.1978 ± 0.0046 Ma (2σ, including systematic uncertainties) that is interpreted as the mean age of zircon crystallization prior to eruption. The 13 ± 5 ka offset between the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar and $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U dates can be attributed to zircon magma residence time. Recognition of a young population of ACR zircon is consistent with the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar eruption age, which coincides with the astronomical age for the Cobb Mountain subchron determined by correlating the oxygen isotope record of the giant piston core MD972143 to the La93(1,1) orbital solution. On the basis of independent radio-isotopic and orbital forcing results, we propose the refined age of 1.1850 ± 0.0016 Ma (2σ external uncertainty) for the Quaternary ACR $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar sanidine standard.

1. Introduction

Obtaining concordant ages between the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar and $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U radio-isotopic dating systems for a given geologic unit is an essential step towards improving the accuracy of the geologic time scale. Historically, there has been an offset of up to 1% between $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar and U/Pb ages (e.g. Min et al., 2000; Schoene et al., 2006 and references therein), far greater than the necessary ±0.1% precision goal needed to construct an integrated, multi-chronometer geologic time scale (EARTHTIME initiative, www.earth-time.org).

An objective of EARTHTIME is to improve upon the accuracy and precision of neutron fluence monitors used in $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar isotopic dating. Recent intercalibration efforts by a variety of techniques for the Fish Canyon sanidine (FCs) monitor have succeeded in reducing uncertainties to near the goal of ±0.1% in relative age (e.g. Kuiper et al., 2008; Renne et al., 2011; Rivera et al., 2011). Several studies have shown that by using an astronomically calibrated $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age for the monitor mineral, $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar and U/Pb ages are within uncertainty over much of geologic time, and agreement between these chronometers can be achieved to a level of ±0.3% (Kuiper et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2010; Rivera et al., 2011; Meyers et al., 2012). For example, using sanidine extracted from the Miocene A1 tephra (Crete) astronomically dated at the precession scale, rather than intercalibration with primary or secondary $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar standards or with U-Pb systematics, can minimize full systematic errors to the ca. ±0.1% level (Rivera et al., 2011).

In this contribution, we present an age for the Quaternary $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar mineral dating standard Alder Creek Rhyolite (ACR) sanidine (Turrin et al., 1994) calibrated against A1 tephra sanidine (A1Ts), and reported with full systematic uncertainties to a precision of ±0.1%. ACR erupted
during the Cobb Mountain normal polarity subchron (C1r.2n), within the Matuyama reverse polarity chron (Mankinen et al., 1978). Previously reported radio-isotopic ages for ACR and derived astronomical ages for the Cobb Mountain subchron are inconsistent. For example, reported \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) sanidine ages range from 1.180 to 1.21 Ma (e.g., Coble et al., 2011; Renne et al., 2011), and ion probe \(^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb}\) zircon age peaks are 1.30 ± 0.04 Ma with a minor (~20%) sub-population at 1.50 ± 0.04 Ma (Schmitt et al., 2003a). Astronomical estimates for the subchron range from 1.173 to 1.215 Ma (e.g., Channell et al., 2002; Horng et al., 2002). The inconsistency in ages of ~0.2 Ma across these three techniques is undesirable and clouds interpretation of \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) dates produced using ACR sanidine as the monitor mineral. In light of recent improvements in our knowledge of the age of the FCs monitor (Kuiper et al., 2008; Rivera et al., 2011), a re-evaluation of the Quaternary \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) standard is timely.

This study uses a combined approach of \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) sanidine and CA-TIMS \(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) zircon dating techniques to provide an eruption age for the ACR and associated Cobb Mountain subchron. Previous ion microprobe U-Pb studies of ACR zircon have highlighted the problems of significant age dispersion in individual zircon crystal ages of up to 200 ka older than the apparent eruption age (Schmitt et al., 2003a), one of many examples in the literature of small volume rhyolites where zircon records protracted magma residence or crystal recycling (e.g., Schmitt, 2011). Further, trace element concentrations in quartz-hosted melt inclusions demonstrated zircon undersaturation of the ACR magma, thus leading to the interpretation that most ACR zircon crystals are more likely recycled ‘antecrysts’ from re-melted plutonic precursors to the ACR magma, rather than recorders of prolonged shallow magma chamber evolution (Schmitt et al., 2003a).

In this work, we test the recycled antecryst hypothesis by employing a tandem in situ trace element (including titanium-in-zircon thermometry) and CA-TIMS dating approach guided by cathodoluminescence imaging to distinguish zircon crystal populations within the ACR magma. Specifically, we identify zircon crystals with low incompatible trace element concentrations, crystallization temperatures greater than the two-feldspar eruption temperature, and dates near the \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) eruption age — those that could represent rapid nucleation and growth from an initially zircon undersaturated ACR magma hypothesized by Schmitt et al. (2003a). Additionally, we confirm older zircon crystals with lower temperatures and higher incompatible trace element concentrations as an antecrystic contribution to the crystal cargo, derived from the underlying Geysers Plutonic Complex. The discrimination of distinctive zircon crystal populations by age, temperature, and composition can clarify the relative importance of crystal residence in differentiating magmas (e.g., Reid et al., 1997) versus crystal recycling from precursor intrusive or extrusive rocks (e.g., Bindeman et al., 2001), in addition to providing critical supporting evidence for the eruption age of the ACR.

1.1. Geologic setting

Alder Creek Rhyolite (ACR) is the oldest unit of the Cobb Mountain felsic composite dome situated in the Geysers Geothermal-Clear Lake Volcanic Field within the Coast Ranges of Northern California. ACR has a transitional to normal geomagnetic polarity direction relative to the reverse directions of the younger Cobb Valley dacite and Cobb Mountain rhyodacite (Fig. 1; Mankinen et al., 1978). These three subaerial eruptive deposits overlay the eastern portion of the Geysers Plutonic Complex composed of granite and granodiorite that intruded into Mesozoic Franciscan Complex metagraywackes (Hulen and Nielsen, 1993). The entire area has been attributed to a strike-slip basin that developed between fault segments associated with the San Andreas transform (Donnelly-Nolan et al., 1993) and is one of the world’s largest producers of geothermal energy. Volcanism within the region has been attributed to asthenospheric upwelling associated with the termination of subduction as a result of the northward migration of the Mendocino Triple Junction (e.g., Stimac et al., 2001 and references within).

2. Materials and methods

We analyzed the widely distributed ACR-products purified sanidine separate (Nomade et al., 2005) and zircon separated by standard magnetic and gravimetric techniques at Boise State University (BSU) from a bulk sample of ACR2 (Schmitt et al., 2003a, 2006). For simplicity, we use the notation of ACRs and ACRz to represent Alder Creek Rhyolite sanidine and zircon, respectively.

2.1. \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) methodology

The ACRs experiments were conducted with Fish Canyon sanidine (FCs) and A1 tephra sanidine (A1Ts) as the neutron fluence monitors, using the astronomically calibrated ages of 28.172 ± 0.018 Ma and 6.943 ± 0.005 Ma (2σ), respectively (Rivera et al., 2011), which are tied to the \(^{40}\text{K}\) partial decay constants of Min et al. (2000). Using sapropel cyclostratigraphic correlation, the A1 tephra of the Faneromeni section (Crete) was astronomically tuned to the La2004h_1,1 precession scale with the La2010 eccentricity modulation (Hilgen et al., 1995; Laskar et al., 2004, 2011; Rivera et al., 2011). Kuiper et al. (2004) initially assigned an uncertainty of ≤ 0.05 Ma to the A1 tephra, which was later argued to be equivalent to a statistically derived uncertainty of 2σ (Rivera et al., 2011). Calibration of the FCs monitor relative to the A1Ts resulted in an age and uncertainty of 28.172 ± 0.018 Ma (Rivera et al., 2011), which is adopted for this study.

Handpicked crystals of ACRs, FCs, and A1Ts were loaded into 21-pit aluminum irradiation disks using the bracketing geometries depicted in the Supplementary materials, Fig. A.1. The disks were wrapped in aluminum foil and heat-sealed within a quartz glass tube. Two irradiations were conducted at the cadmium-lined in-core (CLICIT) facility at the Oregon State University TRIGA reactor. Irradiation time for the ACRs-FCs (ACRsFCs) intercalibration was 6 h (lab ID QL-OSU-20A), while the ACRs-A1Ts (ACRsA1Ts) irradiation was 2 h (lab ID QL-OSU-22A).

Single crystal \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) laser fusion analyses of ACRs were carried out on a Nu Instruments Noblesse multi-collector noble gas mass spectrometer housed at the Quaternary Dating Laboratory (QUADLAB), Roskilde University, following previously described methods (Storey et al., 2007; Brumm et al., 2010; Rivera et al., 2011; Storey et al., 2012). The Noblesse is equipped with one high-mass Faraday detector and three ETP ion-counting electron multipliers. \(^{40}\text{Ar}\) and \(^{39}\text{Ar}\) were measured on the Faraday detector, \(^{38}\text{Ar}\) and \(^{37}\text{Ar}\) on the axial ion counter, and \(^{36}\text{Ar}\) on the low-mass ion counter (see Figure A.2 of Rivera et al., 2011). The detector configuration allowed for simultaneous measurement of \(^{40}\text{Ar}\), \(^{39}\text{Ar}\), and \(^{36}\text{Ar}\), followed by peak hopping to measure \(^{39}\text{Ar}\) and \(^{37}\text{Ar}\). Dead time corrections for the axial and low mass ion counters were 37.1 ns and 23.7 ns, respectively (Brumm et al., 2010). The same detector configuration was used for analysis of monitors, unknowns, blanks, and atmospheric argon delivered from a calibrated piette system, which was used to determine detector intercalibration and mass fractionation corrections (Fig. A.2; Brumm et al., 2010; Rivera et al., 2011; Storey et al., 2012). In the case of QL-OSU-22A, A1Ts was analyzed as multi-crystal fusion experiments of 3–4 grains to allow for more precise measurement of \(^{39}\text{Ar}\) on the Faraday detector. Crystals were gently degassed prior to fusion using a defocused beam from a 50 W Synrad CO2 laser, followed by total fusion at 4–12 W power with a focused beam. Weighted mean values for a 400 second full-system blank were 1.10 × 10\(^{-16}\) ± 1.2% mol \(^{40}\text{Ar}\) (2σ; MSWD = 2.8; n = 159) and \(1.36 × 10^{-18} ± 0.38\% \text{mol}\) \(^{39}\text{Ar}\) (2σ; MSWD = 2.0; n = 143) for QL-OSU-20A, and \(1.17 × 10^{-16} ± 1.5\% \text{mol}\) \(^{40}\text{Ar}\) (2σ; MSWD = 1.9; n = 108) and \(1.12 × 10^{-18} ± 0.54\% \text{mol}\) \(^{39}\text{Ar}\) (2σ; MSWD = 1.3; n = 100) for QL-OSU-22A. Interfering isotope corrections are the same as those used in Rivera et al. (2011). Data collection and
reduction were carried out using the program MASS SPEC (A. Deino, Berkeley Geochronology Center).

2.2. U–Pb methodology

Zircon crystals mounted in epoxy were ground to expose a medial section of each grain, followed by cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging at BSU (Fig. B.1). A second grain mount was prepared and imaged at the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA, Fig. B.1). Guided by CL images, trace element concentrations and preliminary $^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ dates were obtained by LA-ICPMS, using 40 μm laser spots on the BSU zircon mount. Laser spots of 30 μm were used to re-occupy the same location within the UCLA zircon crystals where an earlier ion microprobe analysis was conducted (A. Schmitt; unpublished data). A total of 47 laser spots were placed on 43 grains. Further details on methods, instrumentation, mass fractionation and isobaric interference corrections, standards, and laboratory and initial Pb compositions are given in the Supplementary materials.

After trace element characterization and LA-ICPMS $^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ date determinations, selected crystals were extracted from the mounts for chemical abrasion thermal ionization mass spectrometry (CA-TIMS) analysis (Mattinson, 2005), spiked with EARTHTIME isotope dilution tracer solutions (ET535 or ET2535; Condon et al., 2007). Procedures for annealing, dissolution, chemical separation, and mass spectrometry follow those described by Davydov et al. (2010). A total of 24 CA-TIMS analyses were performed on an IsotopeX Isoprobe-T mass spectrometer at BSU. Calculation of U–Pb dates and uncertainties follow the equations presented in Schmitt and Schoene (2007) and utilize the U decay constants of Jaffey et al. (1971). All $^{238}\text{U}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ dates reported and discussed here were corrected for Th/U disequilibrium using a Th/U$_{\text{magma}}$ value of 2.3 ± 0.6 (2σ) based on the average of ACR quartz-hosted melt inclusions and whole rock analyses (Schmitt et al., 2003a). The ACR magma was assumed to be in secular equilibrium with respect to $^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ and thus the reported ages may overestimate the true crystallization ages by 4 ka per 5% $^{230}\text{Th}$ excess, recognizing that magmatic $^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ activity ratios greater than unity (up to 1.1) are often measured in Pleistocene to Holocene rhyolites (Jicha et al., 2007; Reagan et al., 2003; Lowenstern et al., 2006). Common Pb (up to 0.4 ± 0.2 pg) was attributed to procedural blank with a composition of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 18.04 ± 1.28\%$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 15.54 ± 1.10\%$, and $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 37.67 ± 1.28\%$ (2σ). Any remaining common Pb was assigned to initial Pb, with a composition determined on a co-existing feldspar mineral separate (Table 2; Table B.3). Further details on methods, instrumentation, mass fractionation and isobaric interference corrections, standards, and laboratory and initial Pb compositions are given in the Supplementary materials.

3. Results

3.1. $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ sanidine age determinations

Eighty-five single crystal fusion analyses of ACRs were conducted relative to the two monitor minerals. On average, the A1Ts $^{39}\text{Ar}$ signal was six times greater than the blank analyses, while the ACRs signal was approximately twice the size of the blank analyses. In general, grains of ACRs were highly radiogenic with $^{40}\text{Ar}^\ast$ typically greater than 90%, and analyses with less than 70% $^{40}\text{Ar}^\ast$ were considered outliers. Additionally, following Kuiper et al. (2008), data were rejected from calculations if Ca/K was greater than 1 or if $^{37}\text{Ar}$ was less than 5 × 10$^{-16}$ mol. Finally, analyses with a normalized median absolute deviation (nMAD) greater than 1.5 were considered outliers (Powell et al., 2002). These outlier criteria were applied to both ACRs and monitor analyses. J-values were calculated by fitting a plane through the neighboring positions of FCs or A1Ts using the algorithms of the MASS SPEC software (A. Deino, Berkeley Geochronology Center).

The intercalibration results presented in Table 1 are inverse variance weighted mean ages with associated errors at the 2σ level. For
consistency across dating techniques, all uncertainties are reported at the 2σ level unless otherwise stated. Age-probability distribution plots for each experiment are shown in Fig. 2. ACRs experiment (QL-OSU-20A) result in a model age of 1.1850 ± 0.0010 Ma (including errors on J). The ACRs experiment (QL-OSU-22A) yields an inverse variance weighted mean age of 1.1854 ± 0.0040 Ma (including errors on J). Using the inverse calibration factor \[ \left( \frac{R}{\text{FCs}} \right)_{\text{A1Ts}} = \left( \frac{R_{\text{FCs}}}{R_{\text{A1Ts}}} \right) \] determined by Rivera et al. (2011), the ACRs ages are recalculated relative to A1Ts. Then a small isochron, generally lacking a sharply defined pattern, exhibits a wide range of variation, often containing a bright interior surrounded by a thin darker overgrowth. Conversely, Group B zircon crystals are more abundant, more equant in form, exhibit an obvious oscillatory zoning pattern, and are relatively darker in CL from core to rim compared to Group A zircon.

The morphological groupings are apparent in the chemical compositions. Fig. 4 shows a selection of trace element concentrations and ratios, as well as titanium-in-zircon (TIZ) temperatures for the analyzed laser spots. TIZ temperatures were obtained using the equations of Ferry and Watson (2007) for a rutile-absent melt. We assume an activity of 1 for α0 (0.55 for α0). The TiO2 activity is an estimation based on other TiO2 activities for large-volume silicic volcanic units in the western United States (e.g. Reid et al., 2011; Wark et al., 2007; Hayden and Watson, 2007; Campbell et al., 2009). Analytical uncertainties on TIZ temperatures are ±10 °C, determined by repeated analysis of the Orapa (Botswana) kimberlite standard (Fig. B2). Similarly, a variation of ±10% in the value chosen for ε0 results in an uncertainty on TIZ temperatures of ±10 °C. Zircon trace element data and thermometry results are presented in Tables B.1 and B.2.

The bivariate plots in Fig. 4 demonstrate the compositional differences between Group A and Group B zircon crystals. While a number of trace element parameters, including HF concentrations, total REEs, Eu anomalies, or TIZ temperature may be used to monitor changes in the magmatic environment from which zircon is crystallizing, we introduce Th/Y as an additional monitor of magmatic differentiation. Th/Y will vary in silicic magmas due to the large relative difference in incompatible of these two elements between crystals and silicic melts. Assuming both elements remain incompatible, a more differentiated, low-T, high silica rhyolite will evolve to greater values of Th/Y. By contrast, magmas saturated in a Th-rich phase, such as titanite, allanite, or chevkinite, may evolve to lower Th/Y. In either scenario, this trace element ratio should exhibit substantial variations during progressive differentiation of an individual melt batch, or between distinct magmas. The use of a trace element ratio is advantageous from an analytical perspective, as ratios are generally more precisely and accurately measured via in situ laser and microbeam techniques. Fig. 4 demonstrates how Th/Y covaries in a predictable manner with other trace elements in zircon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run series</th>
<th>Monitor</th>
<th>T (Ma)</th>
<th>± 2σ internal, %</th>
<th>± 2σ internal with J, %</th>
<th>± 2σ external, %</th>
<th>MSWD, prob</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>R ± 2σ error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QL-OSU-20A</td>
<td>FCs</td>
<td>1.1850</td>
<td>0.0008, 0.08%</td>
<td>0.0010, 0.08%</td>
<td>0.0014, 0.12%</td>
<td>1.33, 0.14</td>
<td>29/34</td>
<td>0.041754, 0.000042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QL-OSU-22A</td>
<td>A1Ts</td>
<td>1.1854</td>
<td>0.0008, 0.06%</td>
<td>0.0040, 0.34%</td>
<td>0.0044, 0.36%</td>
<td>1.01, 0.49</td>
<td>46/54</td>
<td>0.170462, 0.000616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>A1Ts</td>
<td>1.1850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0016, 0.14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.170413, 0.000194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Summary of Alder Creek Rhyolite sanidine 40Ar/39Ar dating results.

In Table 1, the weighted mean results are further supported when the data are cast upon a 39Ar/40Ar versus 36Ar/40Ar correlation plot. The inverse isochron ages are statistically consistent with the weighted mean ages (Fig. 3). Further, regression of the data for each experiment yields 40Ar/36Ar values of 300.4 ± 7.7 and 303.9 ± 4.6, which are in general agreement with the published atmospheric ratio (Lee et al., 2006).
intensities within crystals also support a general increase in these elements from core to rim, albeit complicated by oscillatory zoning in the Group B zircon.

Fig. 4C illustrates the covariation in Th/U versus Th/Y between and within the two groups. Trace element ratios can provide a clearer distinction between discrete zircon populations as they are analytically more robust. Random signal fluctuations during ablation common to both ratioed elements are canceled out, and ratios accentuate magmatic source signatures over differentiation enrichments. Although some degree of auto-correlation is expected when comparing Th/U and Th/Y, the separation and contrasting slopes of the data trends clearly distinguish Group A from Group B. The small number of intermediate compositions between the two arrays may represent mixed analyses from laser spots that overlap Group B cores and Group A overgrowths.

ACRz exhibit chondrite-normalized REE patterns similar to those of other magmatic zircon, with pronounced negative Eu and positive Ce anomalies coupled to an enrichment of light REE (Fig. 5). Compared to Group A zircon, Group B zircon have more pronounced Ce and Eu anomalies, more uniform REE contents and patterns, and exhibit steeper middle to heavy REE slopes. A subset of Group B (n = 4) displays a relatively flat light REE pattern at ca. 100 times enrichment over the CI chondrite. Although the time-resolved ablation signals were screened for glass or mineral inclusions (e.g. excess P or Ti counts), these light REE enrichments may still be due to micro-inclusions.

LA-ICPMS geochronology is challenging in such young zircon crystals with minor amounts of ingrown radiogenic Pb. Individual spots yielded absolute uncertainties of 50 to 200 ka (2σ) depending upon U concentration. Experiments with differing common Pb correction schemes (204-, 207-, and 208-Pb corrections) were limited by the Hg interference...
on mass 204 and the very low count rates for \(^{207}\text{Pb}\) and \(^{208}\text{Pb}\); we report and illustrate the \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) dates with no common \(\text{Pb}\) correction in the Supplementary materials, Tables B.1 and B.2 and Fig. B.3. Despite the low radiogenic \(\text{Pb}\) contents, 37 concordant spot dates yield a probability density function with an asymmetric distribution described by a median \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) date of \(1.17 \pm 0.09/0.03\) Ma. As will be illustrated in the next section, these in situ results are consistent within their large errors with the conclusions drawn from high-precision CA-TIMS analyses.

### 3.3. CA-TIMS \(\text{U–Pb}\) age determinations

Trace element concentrations were used to select 22 zircon crystals from Group A and Group B for CA-TIMS analysis (Fig. 6). The majority of Group A crystals are from the larger population of grains in the BSU mount; only one (ACR2-g8; z22) of ten crystals in the UCLA mount had the low trace element compositions typical of Group A. Two large elongate Group A crystals were broken to allow for multiple dates from a single zircon and are labeled as such in Fig. 6. The ACR2 CA-TIMS \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) dates, corrected for Th/U disequilibrium, range from 1.377 ± 0.004 to 1.166 ± 0.028 Ma (analytical error) indicating apparent zircon crystallization over at least 200 ka. However, the geochemically distinct Group A and B zircon are distinguished by younger and older ages, respectively (Table 2). Group B dates are between 1.377 and 1.239 Ma, while Group A dates are between 1.231 and 1.166 Ma. The nine youngest crystals define a weighted mean age of \(1.1978 \pm 0.0043/0.0046\) Ma (analytical/systematic error; MSWD = 0.84, p = 0.57; Fig. 6). This cluster includes the five crystals yielding the highest TiZR temperatures, as well as those analyses that are analytically indistinguishable from that cluster. An additional four analyses of Group A zircon with resolvably older dates may be excluded objectively based upon a combination of lower TiZR temperatures, higher incompatible trace element concentrations and ratios, and complexities in their CL imaging patterns (see Discussion below). This includes one fragment from crystal z11, suggesting that the older ages may be the result of heterogeneous nucleation of Group A zircon on Group B cores.

### 4. Discussion

Coupled ACR \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) sanidine eruption ages and high-precision \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) zircon dates, whose compositions also record pre-eruptive thermal and chemical conditions, are powerful tools for understanding the timescales and mechanisms of felsic magma differentiation. In particular, the zircon CA-TIMS dates used in combination with the TiZR geothermometer (Ferry and Watson, 2007) offer the possibility of precisely constraining the thermal evolution of the ACR magma prior to eruption. In this section, we discuss the implications of two chemically distinct ACRz populations, the eruption age as determined through \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) dating, and the broader implications on the age of the Cobb Mountain subchron.
4.1. ACR zircon crystallization history

Several chemically and petrographically distinct intrusive phases comprise the Geysers Plutonic Complex, which is entirely subsurface, and only encountered in numerous geothermal drill wells (e.g. Dalrymple et al. 1999; Schmitt et al. 2003b; Fig. 8). One of these wells, GDC21, offered the best material to constrain the temporal and compositional relationships among the intrusive units (refer to Figure 2 of Schmitt et al., 2003b). Schmitt et al. (2003b) report that the oldest unit, a microgranite porphyry, is found in the shallowest depths, and yields $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ zircon ion microprobe (IMP) dates from 1.63 to 1.91 Ma. An intermediate aged (1.46 ± 0.03 Ma) orthopyroxene-biotite granite is found at slightly deeper depths; however, the main intrusive phase is characterized by a second, compositionally distinct orthopyroxene-biotite granite (1.27 ± 0.01 Ma) and a granodiorite (1.25 ± 0.01 Ma). Within the depth range of the granite and granodiorite, but on the eastern lobe of the pluton, the youngest phase of plutonism resides below the Cobb Mountain volcanics and is characterized by a third, distinct orthopyroxene-biotite granite with an age of 1.16 ± 0.01 Ma (sample CA5636 74F 21, depths of 1900–2800 mbsl). Schmitt et al. (2003b) recognized that this particular granite was fresh, lacking chloritization, and contains a higher abundance of alkali feldspar relative to the other granites sampled. Finally, a felsic dike with an IMP age of 1.11 ± 0.03 Ma intrudes metagraywacke deposits, which underlie the Cobb Mountain volcanics. Within this framework, we draw correlations between the two groups of ACRz identified in this study and the phases of magmatism as recognized by Schmitt et al. (2003b).

4.1.1. Pre-ACR Group B zircon

The prismatic, oscillatory zoned, Group B zircon represent a period of abundant zircon crystallization from a fractionated, low-temperature, relatively homogeneous granitic magma. Group B zircon dated by CA-TIMS have a narrow range of TiZR temperatures (700–750 °C ± 10 °C), which are relatively constant over the ca. 140 ka of crystallization. On average, the TiZR temperatures calculated for this suite (670–800 °C ± 10 °C; average of 730 °C) are somewhat lower than the two-feldspar thermometry (750–780 °C) and zircon saturation temperatures (775–790 °C) for the ACR (Schmitt et al., 2003a). This temperature discrepancy would imply disequilibrium between the Group B zircon and the ACR magma. Further, this disequilibrium underscores the discrepancy in age between the ca. 1.38 to 1.24 Ma Group B zircon and the 1.185 Ma sanidine $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age of ACR eruption.

CA-TIMS dates from Group B are coincident with the IMP dates determined for the main phase of orthopyroxene-biotite granite intrusion into the shallow crust beneath the Geysers–Cobb Mountain Volcanic Field (Schmitt et al., 2003b). We interpret the Group B zircons as crystallization products of this relatively homogeneous, low temperature (~730 °C) granitic magma body, residing at a shallow crustal depth, with crystallization occurring from 1.38 to 1.24 Ma. Thus, we define Group B zircon as antecedent to the production of the ACR magma, and scavenged during later partial melting of intrusives within the Geysers Plutonic Complex. These data and interpretations provide new evidence consistent with the conclusions of Schmitt et al. (2003a) that the Cobb Mountain eruptives represent partial remelting of earlier intrusives of the Geysers Plutonic Complex.

4.1.2. ACR-related Group A zircon

The elongate, weakly zoned, Group A zircon record a different chemical and thermal magmatic regime compared to Group B. For example, Group A zircon have lower incompatible element concentrations and less pronounced Ce and Eu anomalies (Figs. 4 and 5), characteristics of a less fractionated source magma. TiZR temperatures for Group A zircon are also consistently higher (720–840 °C; average of 790 °C) than those for Group B (Fig. 4; Tables B.1 and B.2). The TiZR temperatures (>800 °C) of bright central domains in Group A slightly exceed the whole rock calculated zircon saturation temperatures for ACR (780 °C) while the lower end of the Group A temperature range is in general agreement with the two-feldspar thermometry estimates of eruptive crystallization temperatures from 750 °C to 780 °C (Schmitt et al., 2003a). These thermochemical features are consistent with the Group A zircon recording the injection and differentiation of initially hotter, more mafic ACR magma into the Geysers–Cobb Mountain system. This is demonstrated by the correlation of the Eu anomaly and TiZR characteristics with older younger CA-TIMS dates (Fig. 7); the weighted mean CA-TIMS $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ date of 1.1978 ± 0.0046 Ma is the mean age of this ACR magma differentiation.

We interpret the apparently oldest Group A zircon crystals as physical mixtures with Group B cores. Complex zoning in the oldest Group A crystals (i.e. z14, z15, z16 at 1.21–1.23 Ma; Fig. 6) and an apparent mixing trend of increasing crystallization temperature (750–840 °C) with decreasing age support this interpretation (Fig. 7). By contrast, an alternative model that the dispersion in Group A dates is due to progressive crystallization during differentiation can be rejected on the basis of the apparent temperature increase to younger ages. Mixing of two co-existing melts over timescales of tens of thousands of years is also unlikely as zircon crystals from the cooler, more silicic magma would be
Table 2

Summary of \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) Alder Creek Rhyolite zircon CA-TIMS dating experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Compositional parameters</th>
<th>Radiogenic isotope ratios</th>
<th>Isotopic ages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Th/U (\times 10^{-13}) mol</td>
<td>(^{206}\text{Pb}^{*/238}\text{U} )</td>
<td>Corr. coef.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Th/Ub</td>
<td>(^{206}\text{Pb}^{*} /^{204}\text{Pb} )</td>
<td>(^{206}\text{Pb}^{*}/^{208}\text{Pb} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z20</td>
<td>0.328 0.0594</td>
<td>91.89% 3.29</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z1</td>
<td>0.354 0.0659</td>
<td>93.78% 4.40</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z10</td>
<td>0.360 0.0295</td>
<td>87.99% 2.15</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z21</td>
<td>0.308 0.0335</td>
<td>84.70% 1.60</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z6</td>
<td>0.413 0.0290</td>
<td>83.47% 1.51</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z7</td>
<td>0.396 0.0380</td>
<td>90.32% 2.77</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z9</td>
<td>0.418 0.0223</td>
<td>84.65% 1.65</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z8</td>
<td>0.531 0.0046</td>
<td>57.98% 0.43</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z4</td>
<td>0.405 0.0297</td>
<td>87.55% 2.09</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z3</td>
<td>0.372 0.0361</td>
<td>89.76% 2.58</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z5</td>
<td>0.366 0.0216</td>
<td>87.97% 2.15</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z14</td>
<td>0.478 0.0357</td>
<td>86.51% 1.95</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z15</td>
<td>0.640 0.0394</td>
<td>86.12% 1.97</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z16</td>
<td>0.358 0.0226</td>
<td>80.23% 1.19</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z11a</td>
<td>0.328 0.0317</td>
<td>88.78% 0.65</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z17</td>
<td>0.427 0.0060</td>
<td>51.57% 0.32</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z22</td>
<td>0.250 0.0075</td>
<td>55.82% 0.36</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z18</td>
<td>0.107 0.0050</td>
<td>48.25% 0.25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z11b</td>
<td>0.319 0.0376</td>
<td>88.99% 2.34</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z12a</td>
<td>0.306 0.0255</td>
<td>79.73% 1.14</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z2</td>
<td>0.551 0.0110</td>
<td>72.69% 0.83</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z12b</td>
<td>0.409 0.0104</td>
<td>65.43% 0.56</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z13</td>
<td>0.316 0.0148</td>
<td>64.96% 0.54</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z19</td>
<td>0.224 0.0068</td>
<td>52.65% 0.31</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) z1, z2 etc. are labels for single zircon crystals or fragments annealed and chemically abraded after Mattinson (2005). z1–z10 were spiked with the ET535 tracer, and z11–z22 with the ET2535 tracer. Samples in bold along with their corresponding values were used in the weighted mean age calculation.

\(b\) Model Th/U ratio iteratively calculated from radiogenic \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}\) ratio and apparent \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) age.

\(c\) \(^{206}\text{Pb}\) and \(^{238}\text{U}\) represent radiogenic and common Pb, respectively; mol\(^{206}\text{Pb}\) with respect to radiogenic, blank and initial common Pb.

\(d\) Measured ratio corrected for spike and fractionation only. Fractionation of U and Pb determined using the double spike composition of the ET2535 tracer, or (for Pb) estimated at 0.15 ± 0.03%/amu for ET2535 based on over 600 analyses of ET2535-spiked zircons.

\(e\) Corrected for fractionation, spike, and common Pb; common Pb up to 0.4 pg was assumed to be procedural blank: \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 18.04 ± 0.64%\); \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 15.5 ± 0.55%\); \(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 37.67 ± 0.64%\) (all uncertainties 1-sigma).

\(f\) Excess over blank was assigned to initial common Pb, using the measured composition of co-existing feldspar: \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 19.11 ± 0.04%\); \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 15.62 ± 0.07%\); \(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} = 38.78 ± 0.05%\) (all uncertainties 1-sigma).

\(g\) Errors are 2-sigma, propagated using the algorithms of Schmitz and Schoene (2007).

\(h\) Calculations are based on the decay constants of Jaffey et al. (1971). \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) and \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) ages corrected for initial disequilibrium in \(^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}\) using Th/U [magma] = 2.3 (Schmitz et al., 2003a).
more likely to dissolve upon mixing with a hotter, Zr undersaturated mafic magma than undergo additional growth. The preferred model of antecrystic cores with younger overgrowths is further evidenced by the chemistry, thermometry, and dates within single zircon crystals. For example, two fragments of Group A zircon z11 exhibit distinct CA-TIMS dates that are outside of analytical uncertainty, a variation of ca. 10 ka. The trace element and TiZR data (Tables B.1 and B.2) support the two distinct ages; the LA-ICPMS analysis of the older fragment yields lower temperatures (ca. 790 °C) with higher REE concentrations while analysis of the younger fragment yields a higher temperature (ca. 810 °C) with lower REE concentrations. In this case, we interpret the LA-ICPMS and CA-TIMS analyses on fragment z11a as mixtures incorporating an internal core component of Group B zircon, while analyses of the z11b fragment capture the composition and age of the volumetrically dominant Group A rim. These lines of evidence indicate that the new pulse of hot, more mafic ACR magma nucleated a small amount of new Group A zircon both homogeneously and heterogeneously on pre-existing Group B zircon. It should be emphasized that Group A forms a small proportion of the zircon population, consistent with inferences from Zr saturation and feldspar thermometry that the bulk ACR magma cooled only slightly below the Zr saturation temperature prior to eruption. The high aspect ratio of many Group A zircon grains is consistent with fast crystallization from a rapidly undercooled magma, perhaps in only locally Zr oversaturated domains or initially only on zircon antecrysts.

4.2. Implications for magma dynamics, crystal recycling, and zircon residence times

Several studies have proposed that hotter, more mafic melt was injected into other pre-existing silicic magmatic centers, based on similar variations in phenocryst composition and crystallization temperatures within a single eruptive unit (e.g. Campbell et al., 2009; Schmitt et al., 2003a, 2003b; Wark et al., 2007). A similar interpretation can be made in the case of the ACR system (Fig. 8). The combined geothermometry and geochemistry of the ACRz suggest that a hotter, less fractionated magma was injected into the Geysers–Cobb Mountain magmatic system at ca. 1.2 Ma. Although basaltic vents are not prevalent within the Geysers–Clear Lake volcanic field (Hearn et al., 1981), nearby nearly coeval basaltic vents exist (e.g. Caldwell Pines; Hammersley and DePaolo, 2006; Schmitt et al., 2006), as well as noritic to gabbroic xenoliths entrained in the mafic rocks that are evidence for mafic intrusives at depth (Stimac, 1993; Stimac et al., 1993). This is supported by geophysical evidence for small-bodied, mafic intrusions emplaced in the lower crust at depths of 12–24 km, with a northwesterly orientation (Stanley et al., 1998; Stimac et al., 2001). Cobb Mountain straddles one of these northwest-trending faults, which may have acted as the conduit for both intruding mafic magmas and the erupting rhyolite (Stimac et al., 2001). The abundance of older, low-T zircon antecrysts in the ACR also demands contributions to silicic magma generation from earlier crystallized, and subsequently remelted, plutons. Thus, the geological and geophysical data are consistent with zircon-based evidence for mafic recharge into the Geysers–Cobb Mountain magma system. This recharge was concomitant with partial remelting of earlier intrusives of the Geysers Plutonic Complex as recorded in Group B and rapid differentiation as recorded by Group A to produce rhyodacite to rhyolite eruptives including the ACR (Schmitt et al., 2003a).

The weighted mean CA-TIMS 206Pb/238U date for the youngest population among the Group A zircon of 1.5978 ± 0.0046 Ma is significantly younger than the peak of the zircon date distribution determined by IMP (Schmitt et al., 2003a). There are likely three factors for this difference: (1) the relatively minor abundance of Group A zircon, (2) their lower U content, and (3) the prevalence of interior spot analyses in sectioned crystals that bias against late-stage zircon rims. The first point is evidenced by the small number of Group A grains found in the UCLA mount; the much larger number of grains in the BSU mount were needed to identify both populations. Second, low U contents of Group A zircon would result in larger analytical uncertainties in IMP measurements, which would mask their significance. The higher U of Group B zircon yields more precise dates, which in turn would dominate any weighted mean calculations on a mixed population. Discerning the two populations was achieved by combining in situ geochemical criteria and greater analytical precision on individual measurements through the tandem LA-ICPMS and CA-TIMS approach.

Abundant geochemical and geochronological evidence links Group B zircon to earlier plutonic activity in the Geysers–Cobb Mountain magmatic system. Their abundance in the ACR, likely greater than 90% of the population, necessitates efficient crystal entrainment and recycling from earlier, solidified magma batches. This conclusion is in accord with the earlier inferences of Schmitt et al. (2003a, 2003b). While the evidence for some dissolution and overgrowth on entrained Group B crystals was previously discussed, the prismatic form of the vast majority of Group B zircon must also be explained. The generation of the ACR magma body via mafic injection and remelting of plutons, and its subsequent extraction, rise, and eruption must have been relatively fast, with insufficient time for substantial dissolution of entrained zircon antecrysts within the undersaturated ACR magma.

Rapid timescales of ACR magma accumulation prior to eruption are directly supported by the small difference between the 1.1978 ± 0.0046 Ma mean differentiation age from Group A zircon and the eruptive 40Ar/39Ar ACRs age of 1.1850 ± 0.0016 Ma. This difference of 13 ± 5 ka places strong constraints on ACR storage times in the upper crust, and is consistent with numerical modeling of heat flow in the Geysers geothermal system (Norton and Hulen, 2001) and K-feldspar 40Ar/39Ar thermochronology of associated plutonic rocks (Dalrymple et al., 1999). In fact, the timescales of ACR differentiation may be even shorter if unrecognized Group B domains exist in the Group A zircon population, or if potential 230Th/238U disequilibrium of the ACR magma is considered. In the 230Th/238U disequilibrium correction of 206Pb/238U, the initial magma 230Th/238U activity ratio is assumed to be unity, lacking any measured constraints for samples now at secular equilibrium. Actual magmatic 230Th/238U
activity ratios greater than unity, as often measured in Holocene to Pleistocene rhyolites (Jicha et al., 2007; Reagan et al., 2003; Lowenstern et al., 2006), will result in an overestimation of disequilibrium corrected $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages by +4 ka per 5% $^{230}\text{Th}$ excess. Thus a plausible 5 to 10% $^{230}\text{Th}$ excess would yield a difference between ACR zircon and sanidine ages of only 8 to 4 ka. We conclude that in the case of the ACR–Geyser Plutonic Complex magma system, the truly magmatic “residence time” of crystals in the ACR magma was very short, numbering in the thousands of years prior to eruption, consistent with other residence time estimates for silicic magmas (e.g. Crowley et al., 2007). Previous inferences of crystal residence over hundreds of thousands of years (Simon et al., 2008) can largely be attributed to crystal recycling from the remelting of young plutonic wall-rocks (Schmitt et al., 2003a).

4.3. Implications for the ACRs $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age and the Cobb Mountain subchron

Our $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age for ACRs of 1.1850 ± 0.0016 Ma is calculated relative to the recently published astronomically calibrated value for FCs of 28.172 ± 0.0028 Ma (Rivera et al., 2011). This is significantly younger than the widely used value of Nomade et al. (2005), particularly when that result is recalculated to the same FCs age (1.199 ± 0.002 Ma) or to an FCs age determined via intercalibration with U–Pb (1.2056 ± 0.0038 Ma; Renne et al., 2011), but is close to the mean value of the EARTHTIME intercalibration experiment involving 16 laboratories (Heizler and EARTHTIME Ar working group, 2008). Our value is also within uncertainty of the ACs-2 $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age obtained on the Stanford Noblesse (Coble et al., 2011), which used a different experimental approach to intercalibrate the detectors of their instrument than that used at the QUADLAB. Further, our ACRs age is supported by our CA-TIMS zircon study, where Group A zircons have a weighted mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age of 1.1978 ± 0.0046 Ma. The ca. 13 ka difference in $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ sanidine and $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ zircon ages can be accounted for by a short pre-eruptive residence interval for the zircon crystals, or by adjusting for $^{230}\text{Th}$ excess, which would then place the dates determined by the two techniques within uncertainty of each other. On the other hand, the recalculated $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age of Nomade et al. (2005) is older than the $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ zircon age determined here. This reversal of U–Pb zircon and $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ sanidine ages is geologically implausible, suggesting some source of bias in the calculated $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ for ACRs of Nomade et al. (2005).

Since its initial recognition as a distinct geomagnetic polarity event (Mankinen et al., 1978), the Cobb Mountain subchron (C1ZN) has been documented in deep sea drilling sites and recognized as a global event. Numerous ages have been proposed for the duration of the subchron based on a variety of age models from magnetostratigraphic and oxygen isotope records. Because the ACR is associated with the Cobb Mountain geomagnetic polarity subchron, we can compare radio-isotopic dating results for the extrusion of ACR to astronomical age estimates for the subchron.

Astronomical dating is a useful, independent alternative to radio-isotopic dating because it eliminates the dependence on neutron flux monitors and the knowledge of an accurate and precise decay constant. Moreover, astronomical ages can provide an age for a geologic event, sample, or horizon nearing or achieving the ±0.1% EARTHTIME goal (e.g. Kuiper et al., 2008; Rivera et al., 2011). Regular fluctuations in the Earth’s orbital parameters—precession (~21 ka cycle), obliquity (~41 ka cycle), and eccentricity (~100 and 405 ka, and 2.1 Ma cycles)—are precisely calculated as astronomical target curves based upon insolation variation over time (e.g. Laskar et al., 2004, 2011). The mix of the Earth’s orbital cycles directly influence insolation, in turn affecting sedimentation type and rates or influencing the presence of certain types of benthic foraminifera within a marine sedimentary section. Logged cyclic variations preserved as proxy data in a sedimentary sequence, such as color or oxygen isotope values, for marine records can be correlated (tuned) to the calculated target curves, thus providing an astronomical age model for the sequence. Once a section is tuned, any event within that section can be assigned an astronomical age and uncertainty. For example, Plio–Pleistocene geomagnetic polarity reversals, including the Cobb Mountain subchron, preserved in globally distributed drill cores have been independently tuned and compiled into the most complete Geologic Time Scale (GTS2012; Gradstein et al., 2012).

Shackleton et al. (1990) provided an orbital-based age model for the Cobb Mountain subchron from ODP Site 677 (Equatorial Pacific) based on the correlation of the benthic and planktic oxygen isotope record to the Earth’s precessional signal. They arrived at an age of 1.19 Ma using the Imbrie and Imbrie (1980) ice volume model, built upon the Berger and Loutre (1988) July 65° N insolation curve. Using this record as a reference core, Channell and Kleiven (2000) matched the benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record from ODP Site 983 (North Atlantic) to the same ice volume model, yet did not provide an estimate for the age of the subchron. This was followed by an expanded study of ODP Sites 983 and 984, where a band-pass filtered volume susceptibility record was matched to the precessional target curve of Berger and Loutre (1988). However, the age model for ODP Sites 983 and 984 cores was determined through matching the susceptibility record to the ODP Site 677 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record. From this analysis, an age of 1.190 to 1.215 Ma was assigned as the duration of the Cobb Mountain subchron (Channell et al., 2002).

A different approach to obtaining an astronomical age for the Cobb Mountain subchron by Horng et al. (2002) was based on records from the giant piston core MD972143 (Philippine Sea). Here, the band-pass
filtered δ¹⁸O record was matched to the obliquity and precession target curves of the La93(1,1) astronomical solution (Laskar et al., 1993). Horng et al. (2002) highlight that the sedimentation record preserved in the core must be adjusted for the thicknesses of tephra deposits, and thus remove approximately 1 m of core to obtain a more realistic, continuous δ¹⁸O record. Further, the authors account for orbital lag by adding 3 ka and 7 ka to the astronomical ages of precession and obliquity, respectively. Following these corrections, a duration of 1.173 ± 0.004 to 1.185 ± 0.005 Ma was proposed for the Cobb Mountain subchron. The errors were assigned based on uncertainties in the sedimentation rates and magnetostratigraphy, and do not include uncertainties associated with the astronomical solution itself.

We argue that the method employed by Horng et al. (2002) results in a more accurate astronomical age for the subchron, particularly in that it uses a more recent astronomical solution. Moreover, the peak-to-peak relationships between the filtered sample record and target curves are better correlated for the MD972143 core than for the ODP Site 983 and 984 records. Channell et al. (2002) note the mismatch between the filtered susceptibility record and astronomical target curves at Sites 983 and 984 and attribute the discrepancies to noise within the susceptibility record, possibly from the presence of volcanic ashes. Because of this mismatch and unknown lag times, Channell et al. (2002) reframed from “tuning the filtered susceptibility record to the astronomical solution.” Therefore, the most convincing astronomical record to date for the age of the Cobb Mountain subchron is that of Horng et al. (2002), yet we advise a new tuning that utilizes the more recent astronomical solutions of Laskar et al. (2004, 2011).

The ACR ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar sanidine eruption age obtained in this study supports the astronomical age determined by Horng et al. (2002). Oxygen isotope records of ODP Sites 983 and 984 and other North Atlantic locations were subsequently tuned by Channell et al. (2010) to an ice volume age model to evaluate the timing of the Matuyama–Brunhes polarity reversal. From this study, the authors calculated a best-fit age for FCs of 27.93 Ma, determined by aligning the age of Hawaiian basalt that preserve the reversal with the astronomical age of the boundary from the drill cores (Coe et al., 2004). Using this age for FCs in our experiments yields an ACRs age of 1.1748 ± 0.0007 Ma, distinguishably younger (up to ca. 0.04 Ma) than the astronomical age of the Cobb Mountain subchron from these same ODP cores (Channell and Kleiven, 2000; Channell et al., 2002). However, it should be noted that the new ice volume age model presented in Channell et al. (2010) does not extend to the Cobb Mountain subchron, and the astronomical age calculated here is only an estimate. The discrepancy between the astronomical age proposed for the subchron and that calculated using the monitor value that satisfies other boundaries within the same core might be resolved in the future when the ice volume tuning is extended. Therefore, at this time, the radio-isotopic ages suggest that the tuning of the MD972143 piston core studied by Horng et al. (2002) is the most accurate.

5. Conclusions

Contributions to the Quaternary geologic timescale via high-precision radio-isotopic anchors are essential to improving our understanding of geologic, climatic, and human events. The Cobb Mountain normal subchron is best represented by the ACR, which hosts the Quaternary ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar mineral dating standard. In situ LA-ICPMS analyses combined with CA-TIMS ²⁶⁰Pb/²³⁸U dating of ACR zircon show trace element signatures representative of two distinct zircon populations: an older (1.38 to 1.24 Ma), low-temperature pre-eruptive suite that crystallized in a highly fractionated magma (Group B) and a younger (1.197 Ma), high-temperature eruptive suite (Group A) with a chemical composition indicative of a less fractionated magma. Group B zircon crystals are antecrysts scavenged from earlier intrusives of the Geyers Plutonic Complex, whereas Group A crystals reflect the injection and differentiation of the ACR magma body with heterogeneous nucleation of younger overgrowths on the Group B zircon antecrysts and rarer homogenous nucleation of elongate prismatic crystals. The transition between the two groups at ca. 1.2 Ma is likely due to the influx of a less fractionated, mafic magma (possibly resembling the norites and gabbrros found in the Clear Lake Volcanic Field) into the existing felsic magma system. The younger zircon crystals yield a weighted mean date of 1.1978 ± 0.0046 Ma that is slightly older than the eruption ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar single crystal sanidine age of 1.1850 ± 0.0016 Ma. The maximum zircon crystal residence time of <13 ka and preservation of antecrystic, pluton-derived zircons within the Zr undersaturated ACR magma is evidence for rapid generation, crystallization, and differentiation of the ACR magma batch. Our interpreted ACR eruption age of 1.1850 ± 0.0016 Ma is further supported by the astronomical age of the Cobb Mountain subchron based on the tuning of chemical proxy data to astronomical target curves, spanning from 1.185 ± 0.005 Ma to 1.173 ± 0.004 Ma (Horng et al., 2002). We, therefore, recommend the use of 1.1850 ± 0.0016 Ma as the age of the Quaternary mineral dating standard for future ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age experiments.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.02.021.
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